

Single Member Cabinet Decision

Executive Forward Plan Reference	E2184
---	--------------

Consideration of objections to the Advertisement of proposed One Way Street and Cycle Contra-flow, The Avenue, Combe Down, Bath

Decision maker/s	Cllr Charles Gerrish, Cabinet Member for Service Delivery }
The Issue	This report considers objections in response to the public advertisement of the proposal to introduce a one way street with a cycle contra-flow, The Avenue, Combe Down.
Decision Date	31-Jan-2011
The decision	The Cabinet Member agrees that: the proposal is implemented as advertised subject to Department for Transport sign authorisation. The objectors are advised accordingly.
Rationale for decision	The rationale of this scheme is to encourage more people to cycle rather than to use cars, particularly for short local journeys. This route is already used by cyclists and so this proposal would regularise this.
Financial and budget implications	<p>A funding item is included in TC8511 Bath Cycle Network budget (2010/11 Transportation Capital programme). The total budget is £45,000 for various schemes and these works will be accommodated within this.</p> <p>There will be minimum additional future maintenance costs and the scheme does include the replacement of existing signs/posts and removal of redundant signage.</p>
Issues considered	Customer Focus; Sustainability; Health & Safety; Other Legal Considerations
Consultation undertaken	Ward Councillor; Other B&NES Services; Service Users; Local Residents; Community Interest Groups; Other Public Sector Bodies; Section 151 Finance Officer; Chief Executive; Monitoring Officer
How consultation was carried out	Correspondence and Traffic Regulation Order process.
Other options considered	<p>One option is to do nothing, however, this route is used by cyclists and they are likely to continue to use it.</p> <p>Another option is to provide a shared use path on the west side footway. This path is currently approximately 2m wide. This is a relatively well used footway with a play park entrance. This width is an absolute minimum for shared use, however, with local conditions of usage and the play park, shared use is not felt to be suitable in this location. It would not be possible to widen the</p>

	footway because of trees.
--	---------------------------

Signatures of Decision Makers	
--------------------------------------	--

Date of Signature	
--------------------------	--

Subject to Call-in until 5 Working days have elapsed following publication of the decision